

APPENDIX 12

Task 12, Wertheim-Seatuck Retrospective Early Action Project Appendix (Figures and Tables) THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Suffolk County Vector Control & Wetlands Management Long Term Plan & Environmental Impact Statement

A RETROSPECTIVE STUDY OF SALT MARSH RESPONSE TO HISTORICAL ANTHROPOGENI MODIFICATIONS AT SEATUCE AND WERTHEIM NATION A WILDLIFE REFUGES

Submitted to:

Suffolk County Department of Environment and En Suffolk County Department of Health Services Suffolk County Department of Public Works Suffolk County, New York

> **CASHIN ASSOCIATES, P.C.** 1200 Veterans Memorial Highway, Hauppauge NY

> > May 2006

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

SUFFOLK COUNTY VECTOR CONTROL AND WETLANDS MANAGEMENT LONG - TERM PLAN AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PROJECT SPONSOR

Steve Levy Suffolk County Executive



Department of Environment and Energy

Michael Deering Commissioner

Department of Public Works

Charles J. Bartha, P.E. Commissioner Richard LaValle, P.E. Chief Deputy Commissioner Leslie A. Mitchel Deputy Commissioner

Department of Health Services

Brian L. Harper, M.D., M.P.H. Commissioner Vito Minei, P.E. Director, Division of Environmental Quality

PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Project Manager: Walter Dawydiak, P.E., J.D. Chief Engineer, Division of Environmental Quality, Suffolk County Department of Health Services

<u>Suffolk County Department of</u> <u>Public Works, Division of Vector</u>

<u>Control</u> Dominick V. Ninivaggi Superintendent Tom Iwanejko Entomologist Mary E. Dempsey Biologist

<u>Suffolk County Department of</u> Health Services, Office of Ecology

Martin Trent Chief Kim Shaw Bureau Supervisor Robert M. Waters Bureau Supervisor Laura Bavaro Senior Environmental Analyst Erin Duffy Environmental Analyst Phil DeBlasi Environmental Analyst Jeanine Schlosser Principal Clerk

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

SUFFOLK COUNTY LONG TERM PLAN CONSULTANT TEAM

Cashin Associates, P.C.	Hauppauge, NY
Subconsultants	
Cameron Engineering, L.L.P.	Syosset, NY
Integral Consulting	Annapolis, MD
Bowne Management Systems, Inc.	Mineola, NY
Kamazima Lwiza, PhD	Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY
Ducks Unlimited	Stony Brook, NY
Steven Goodbred, PhD & Laboratory	Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY
RTP Environmental	Westbury, NY
Sinnreich, Safar & Kosakoff	Central Islip, NY
Bruce Brownawell, PhD & Laboratory	Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY
Anne McElroy, PhD & Laboratory	Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY
Andrew Spielman, PhD	Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA
Richard Pollack, PhD	Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA
Masahiko Hachiya, PhD	Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA
Wayne Crans, PhD	Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ
Susan Teitelbaum, PhD	Mount Sinai School of Medicine, NY
Zawicki Vector Management Consultants	Freehold, NJ
Michael Bottini, Turtle Researcher	East Hampton, NY
Robert Turner, PhD & Laboratory	Southampton College, NY
Christopher Gobler, PhD & Laboratory	Southampton College, NY
Jerome Goddard, PhD	Mississippi Department of Health, Jackson, MS
Sergio Sanudo, PhD & Laboratory	Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY
Robert Cerrato, PhD	Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY
Suffolk County Department of Health Services, Division of Environmental Quality	Hauppauge, NY

Primary research for this report was conducted by the Goodbred laboratory, Marine Sciences Research Center at Stony Brook University (personnel included Steven L. Goodbred, Ph.D. and Kathryn M. McLetchie). It was reviewed and edited by Cashin Associates (personnel including David Tonjes, PhD).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES

- Table 1. GPS Coordinates for Transects
- Table 2. Locations of Marsh Cores
- Table 3. Excess Inventories for ²¹⁰Pb Activity
- Table 4. Average Long-Term Accretion Rates Derived from Radioisotope Dating
- Table 5. Comparison of Calendar Dates Derived by ¹³⁷Cs and ²¹⁰Pb Radioisotopes
- Table 6. Relative Importance of Factors Contributing to Marsh Change During the 20^{th} Century (1 = major influence, $\underline{2}$ = some influence, 3 = little influence)

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1: Wertheim and Seatuck National Wildlife Refuges
- Figure 2: Wertheim National Wildlife Refuge
- Figure 3: Seatuck National Wildlife Refuge
- Figure 4: Transect Locations for Wertheim National Wildlife Refuge
- Figure 5: Transect Loactions for Seatuck National Wildlife Refuge
- Figure 6: Russian Peat-Auger Photos and Interpretations for Transect A at Wertheim National Wildlife Refuge
- Figure 7: Russian Peat-Auger Photos and Interpretations for Transect B at Wertheim National Wildlife Refuge
- Figure 8: Russian Peat-Auger Photos and Interpretations for Transect A at Seatuck National Wildlife Refuge
- Figure 9: Russian Peat-Auger Photos and Interpretations for Transects B and C at Seatuck National Wildlife Refuge
- Figure 10: Transect WA Percent H₂O & Percent LOI Data
- Figure 11: Transect WB Percent H₂O & Percent LOI Data
- Figure 12: Transect SA Percent H₂O & Percent LOI Data
- Figure 13: Transect SB Percent H₂O & Percent LOI Data
- Figure 14: Transect SC Percent H₂O & Percent LOI Data
- Figure 15a: Percent LOI vs Percent H₂O for Cores WA-A & WA-B
- Figure 15b: Percent LOI vs Percent H₂O for Cores WB-A & WB-B
- Figure 16a: Changes in Percent LOI with Depth for Cores WA-A & WA-B
- Figure 16b: Changes in Percent LOI with Depth for Cores WB-A & WB-B
- Figure 17a: Changes in Percent H₂O with Depth for Cores WA-A & WA-B
- Figure 17b: Changes in Percent H₂O with Depth for Cores WB-A & WB-B
- Figure 18: Percent LOI vs Percent H₂O for Cores SA-A & SA-B
- Figure 19: Changes in Percent LOI with Depth for Cores SA-A & SA-B

- Figure 20: Changes in Percent H₂O with Depth for Cores SA-A & SA-B
- Figure 21a: Organic to Mineral Fraction Ratio for Cores WA-A & WA-B
- Figure 21b: Organic to Mineral Fraction Ratio for Cores WB-A & WB-B
- Figure 21c: Organic to Mineral Fraction Ratio for Cores SA-A & SA-B
- Figure 22a: Excess ²¹⁰Pb Activity for Cores WA-A & WA-B
- Figure 22b: Excess ²¹⁰Pb Activity for Cores WB-A & WB-B
- Figure 22c: Excess ²¹⁰Pb Activity for Cores SA-A & SA-B
- Figure 23a: Sediment Ages Derived from ²¹⁰Pb Activity for Cores WA-A & WA-B
- Figure 23b: Sediment Ages Derived from ²¹⁰Pb Activity for Cores WB-A & WB-B
- Figure 23c: Sediment Ages Derived from ²¹⁰Pb Activity for Cores SA-A & SA-B
- Figure 24a: Core WA-A Accretion Rates, Chronology, & Paleobotany
- Figure 24b: Core WA-B Accretion Rates, Chronology, & Paleobotany
- Figure 24c: Core WB-A Accretion Rates, Chronology, & Paleobotany
- Figure 24d: Core WB-B Accretion Rates, Chronology, & Paleobotany
- Figure 24e: Core SA-A Accretion Rates, Chronology, & Paleobotany
- Figure 24f: Core SA-B Accretion Rates, Chronology, & Paleobotany
- Figure 25: Battery Station (Manhattan, New York) Tide Gauge Record 1920-2004
- Figure 26a: ¹³⁷Cs Profile for Core WA-B
- Figure 26b: ¹³⁷Cs Profiles for Cores WB-A & WB-B
- Figure 26c: ¹³⁷Cs Profiles for Cores SA-A & SA-B
- Figure 27a: Grain Size Analysis for Core WA-A
- Figure 27b: Grain Size Analysis for Cores WB-A & WB-B
- Figure 27c: Grain Size Analysis for Cores SA-A & SB-B

Transect	Starting coordinates	Ending coordinates	
Seatuck-A	N 40° 42.533′, W 73° 12.557′	N 40° 42.539′, W 73° 12.662′	
Seatuck-B	N 40° 42.650′, W 73° 12.540′	N 40° 42.649′, W 73° 12.591′	
Seatuck-C	N 40° 42.650′, W 73° 12.556′	N 40° 42.674′, W 73° 12.556′	
Wertheim-A	N 40° 46.494′, W 72° 53.614′	N 40° 46.505′, W 72° 53.509′	
Wertheim-B	N 40° 46.073′, W 72° 53.346′	N 40° 46.121′, W 72° 53.260′	

Table 1. GPS Coordinates for Transects

Table 2. Locations of Marsh Cores

Core	Coordinates
SA-A	N 40° 42.532′, W 73° 12.586′
SA-B	N 40° 42.530′, W 73° 12.632′
WA-A	N 40° 46.512′, W 72° 53.581′
WA-B	N 40° 46.497′, W 72° 53.562′
WB-A	N 40° 46.099′, W 72° 53.294′
WB-B	N 40° 46.113′, W 72° 53.274′

Table 3. Excess Inventories for ²¹⁰Pb Activity

Core	<i>Inventory for excess</i> ²¹⁰ <i>Pb activity (dpm/cm²)</i>
WA-A	29.0 ±0.36
WA-B	28.8 ± 0.27
WB-A	47.7 ±0.43
WB-B	34.1 ±0.32
SA-A	28.8 ±0.35
SA-B	27.8 ±0.32

Core	²¹⁰ Pb (cm/yr)	¹³⁷ Cs (cm/yr)	Average (cm/yr)
WA-A	0.23	n/a	n/a
WA-B	0.27	0.29	0.28
WB-A	0.41	0.32	0.37
WB-B	0.24	0.20	0.22
SA-A	0.20	0.24	0.22
SA-B	0.25	0.17	0.21

Table 4. Average Long-Term Accretion Rates Derived from Radioisotope Dating

Core	Depth Interval (cm) for 1963 ¹³⁷ Cs peak	²¹⁰ Pb Constant Flux Date at same interval
WA-B	10-12	1962
WB-A	12-14	1962
WB-B	6-8	1969
SA-A	8-10	1962
SA-B	6-8	1955

Table 5. Comparison of Calendar Dates Derived by ¹³⁷Cs and ²¹⁰Pb Radioisotopes

Table 6. Relative Importance of Factors Contributing to Marsh Change During the 20^{th} Century (1 = major influence, 2 = some influence, 3 = little influence)

Factor	Wertheim	Seatuck
Global Climate Change/SLR	3	3
Inlet Closings/Openings	2	3
Roadway/Upland Development	3	1
Duck Farming	2	3
Parallel Grid Ditching	1	2
OMWM Practices	n/a	2





FIGURE 2 SUFFOLK COUNTY VECTOR CONTROL WERTHEIM / SEATUCK RETROSPECTIVE STUDY WERTHIEM NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE





0 250 500 750 1,000 Feet

N

FIGURE 3 SUFFOLK COUNTY VECTOR CONTROL WERTHEIM / SEATUCK RETROSPECTIVE STUDY SEATUCK NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE



500

250

750 1,000 Feet

Ν

FIGURE 4 SUFFOLK COUNTY VECTOR CONTROL WERTHEIM / SEATUCK RETROSPECTIVE STUDY TRANSECT LOCATIONS FOR WERTHIEM NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE



0 240 480 720 960 Feet

N

FIGURE 5 SUFFOLK COUNTY VECTOR CONTROL WERTHEIM / SEATUCK RETROSPECTIVE STUDY TRANSECT LOCATIONS FOR SEATUCK NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Wertheim Transect A – 150 m long (7/7/04)



Figure 6: Russian Peat-Auger Photos and Interpretations for Transect A at Wertheim National Wildlife Refuge

Wertheim Transect B - 150 m long



Figure 7: Russian Peat-Auger Photos and Interpretations for Transect B at Wertheim National Wildlife Refuge

Seatuck Transect A - 150 m long (7/2/04)



Figure 8: Russian Peat-Auger Photos and Interpretations for Transect A at Seatuck National Wildlife Refuge



Seatuck Transect C – 45 m long (7/7/04)



Figure 9: Russian Peat-Auger Photos and Interpretations for Transects B and C at Seatuck National Wildlife Refuge





. •• •















Figure 13: Transect SB Percent H₂O & Percent LOI Data









Seatuck C: %LOI vs Depth %LOI 40 • •• **Depth (cm)** 25 30







WA-B: %H2O vs %LOI







WB-B: %H2O vs %LOI









Figure 16b: Changes in Percent LOI with Depth for Cores WB-A & WB-B







WA-B: %H2O vs Depth







WB-B: %H2O vs Depth 70 %H2O 80







SA-A: %H2O vs %LOI







Figure 19: Changes in Percent LOI with Depth for Cores SA-A & SA-B



















Figure 21b: Organic to Mineral Fraction Ratio for Cores WB-A & WB-B







Figure 21c: Organic to Mineral Fraction Ratio for Cores SA-A & SA-B





WA-B



40



SA-A

			Exc	ess Pb-210 (d	lpm/g)			
-5	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35
	0 +	I	I	1	I	I	<u> </u>	
						⊢◆⊣		
				H				
	5 -							
(cm				H	H			
pth	10 -							
e De								
Base	•	•						
	•							
	15 -							
	•							
	•							
	<u> </u>							

SA-B



Figure 23a: Sediment Ages Derived from ²¹⁰Pb Activity for Cores WA-A & WA-B





Figure 23b: Sediment Ages Derived from ²¹⁰Pb Activity for Cores WB-A & WB-B



WB-B







SA-B









Figure 24d: Core WB-B Accretion Rates, Chronology, & Paleobotany











Figure 26a: ¹³⁷Cs Profile for Core WA-B



Figure 26b: ¹³⁷Cs Profiles for Cores WB-A & WB-B



Figure 26c: ¹³⁷Cs Profiles for Cores SA-A & SA-B





Figure 27a: Grain Size Analysis for Core WA-A













SA-B



THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK